CTLCV Candidate Survey

Please complete this survey by July 30, 2016.



First Name: *
Gayle
Last Name: *
Carr

Running For: *



Senate

District Number: *
66th
Party: *
Democrat
Republican
Working Families
Other:
Campaign Mailing Address: * P.O. Box 1763, Litchfield, CT 06759
Phone: *
860-567-2344
Website:
gaylecarrforthe66th@nationbuilder.com
Email: *
gaylecarr66th@yahoo.com

Do you have a primary?
O Yes
No
The issues described below are ongoing and likely to be on the legislative agenda for consideration in 2017. If elected, what position do you expect to take on the following environmental issues?
1. CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT- To place a constitutional amendment measure on the ballot in 2018, the General Assembly must pass a joint resolution for a second time in the 2017-2018 legislative session. Senate Joint Resolution 36/Resolution Act 16-1 was passed by both chambers in 2016. Would you support passing a state Constitutional Amendment to better protect state conservation lands from being sold, swapped or given away without a public hearing and a 2/3rds vote by the General Assembly?
Yes
O No
O Uncertain
Question 1 Comments:

quality relies on the protection of the recharge lands for reservoirs and wellfields, known as Class I and II lands. With increasing frequency, projects and legislation are proposed that would compromise protections for Class I and II lands, such as the legislative effort this year to allow rock mining in 100 acres of Class I and II land in New Britain. Would you oppose legislation that undermines traditional drinking water protections?				
Yes				
O No				
Uncertain				
Question 2 Comments:				
3. WATER DATA FREEDOM OF INFORMATION- In 2002-2003, water utilities pushed through three security laws that require officials to redact (black out or delete) large quantities of information in documents, such as water supply plans. Basic data needed for comprehensive, statewide water planning mandated in 2014 (PA 14-163) is now being redacted from water utility records. During the 2016 session, state agencies (DPH, DPUC, DAS, DEEP) and the Governor's office attempted (unsuccessfully) to persuade water companies to cooperate in state water planning by releasing more data. Would you support legislation to allow these state agencies to make water utility data publicly available for planning purposes?				
Yes				
○ No				
Uncertain				

2. PROTECTION OF CLASS I AND II LANDS- Connecticut has set the highest standard for drinking-water quality in the nation. Maintaining our high water

Question 3 Comments:

This question has two parts:

The only caveat would be that adequate protections would need to be in place to ensure that the water supply remains safe from acts of sabotage or terrorism. Information which compromises that should be protected. I also believe that the public should know when these quasi public agencies intend to sell this land which I believe should be returned to the public.

4. WATER SUPPLY REGULATION- Privatization of public water for private bottling and sale is happening in communities across the country. In 2015-2016, citizens' groups protested the unilateral decision of a CT regional water utility to sell a huge volume of water to a single new customer (a water bottling company). The volume—1.8 million gallons per day—was approximately equal to total residential water use in the service area. The new customer was given a specially created discount to encourage large water purchases, while at the same time rates for households were increased, and no provision was made for prioritizing supply in droughts.

a. Would you support a permit requirement on new, supersized water
diversions, for all new and existing customers asking for an additional
500,000 gallons per day above current use?

	Yes
\bigcirc	No
\bigcirc	Uncertair

b. Would you be in favor of regulating sales of our public drinking water supply to private for-profit water bottling companies?
Yes
○ No
Uncertain
Question 4 Comments:
Access to clean drinking water is a fundamental human right. It should be protected at all cost.
5. REGIONAL GREENHOUSE GAS INITIATIVE- Connecticut receives revenues from auctions for emissions credits conducted by the nine-state Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI). Under Connecticut statutes, these funds are dedicated to energy efficiency programs which help thousands of residents and businesses, and to the Green Bank, which leverages these funds to attract far more in private funding to finance renewable energy installations. Both programs create thousands of Connecticut jobs. Would you oppose any diversion of RGGI funds away from energy efficiency programs and the Green Bank?
Yes
○ No
Uncertain
Question 5 Comments:

6. CLIMATE CHANGE- The 2008 Global Warming Solutions Act (P.A. 08-98) mandates an 80% reduction in CT's greenhouse gas emissions below 2001 levels by the year 2050. The Governor's Council on Climate Change has been charged with developing strategies and interim targets to achieve that goal (Executive Order 46) and will issue a plan by the end of 2016. Would you support the adoption of new interim targets that will ensure CT is on a path to achieve the mandated 2050 emissions reduction goal?
Yes
O No
O Uncertain
Question 6 Comments:
Given the fact that Connecticut is currently 15 inches below average for rainfall since the beginning of January 2015 and the escalation of global warming, these dates should be protected and implementation of environmental goals accelerated where possible.
7. PROJECT GREEN SPACE- Municipalities struggle to find adequate funding for open space acquisition and stewardship. Would you support enabling legislation that would allow municipalities to establish, if they choose to do so, a limited conveyance fee on transfers of real estate to provide dedicated local funding for land conservation, including farmland, forests and open space, and to fund land stewardship efforts, including in urban communities/public lands?
Yes

O No

Uncertain

8. PLASTIC BAG POLLUTION- Plastic pollution is a global environmental
problem. Westport, CT and other communities across the country have
begun to address this issue by implementing bans on single-use plastic
bags, citing their contribution to clogged waterways, damage to marine life,
and toxic pollution. Would you support a statewide ban on plastic bags
similar to the successful ban in Westport?

	Yes
\bigcirc	No
	Uncertain

Question 8 Comments:

Single use plastic bags are a blight upon the environment causing the untimely death of many animals and especially marine life. Sometimes convenience must give way to responsible environmental stewardship.

9. TIRE RECYCLING- The value of scrap tire is declining and many postconsumer uses are going away. This is a recipe for illegal dumping which puts a financial burden on municipalities and can develop into a public health concern (tires are a breeding ground for mosquitos). Would you support full Expanded Producer Responsibility for tires--that involves producers and advocates--in designing the take-back program?

	Yes
\bigcirc	No
\bigcirc	Uncertain

Question 9 Comments:

This should also be true for appliances which are increasingly being made to have a shorter useful life in order to increase corporate profits. The burden on the waste system should be borne in whole or part by those making the profit.

10. SOLAR ENERGY- Residential solar energy is rapidly expanding, and is an important source of clean energy and jobs. But CT's residential solar market is limited due to the high percentage (about 80%) of renters and homes shaded by trees. Other states have successful "shared solar" programs that enable people who cannot install rooftop solar panels to purchase a portion of electricity produced by a larger solar installation. Would you support legislation expanding CT's insufficient shared solar pilot to a full-scale, statewide program that allows all CT residents to access clean energy?

Ye	es es
O N	
O U	ncertain
Ques	tion 10 Comments:

11. What environmental concerns are you most passionate about?

Climate change, species loss, water safety and security, overpopulation and the stress it places on limited natural resources.

12. What are the environmental priorities in your district? Do any require a state legislative solution? If elected/re-elected, what will you do to address these issues in 2017?

Care for Bantam Lake and invasive species, management of open space, lack of public transportation. Bantam Lake is a State resource but the State does not contribute to the burden of treating for invasive species shifting the burden to the adjoining towns. There is virtually no public transportation which is difficult for seniors and young people. It also impacts employment and the environment. Open space and land acquisition are also ongoing issues in the District. The State has the power to assist with all of these issues and it would be my responsibility to bring the monies back to the District to assist in the implementation of the environmental needs of each of the towns within the District as well as to provide advocacy and enabling legislation where appropriate.

DO NOT LEAVE THIS FORM UNTIL YOU HAVE HIT THE "SUBMIT" BUTTON. If you have any questions or would like to submit additional information regarding your environmental record or positions, please email us at ctlcvquestionnaire@gmail.com or call our office at 860-236-5442. Thank you!

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms