

CTLCV Candidate Survey

Please complete this survey by July 30, 2016.



First Name: *

Ronna

Last Name: *

Stuller

Running For: *

House

Senate

District Number: *

39

Party: *

Democrat

Republican

Working Families

Other : Green

Campaign Mailing Address: *

19 Evergreen Avenue, New London, CT 06320

Phone: *

860-772-8439

Website:

www.nlgreens.org

Email: *

rstuller@snet.net

Do you have a primary?

Yes

No

The issues described below are ongoing and likely to be on the legislative agenda for consideration in 2017. If elected, what position do you expect to take on the following environmental issues?

1. CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT- To place a constitutional amendment measure on the ballot in 2018, the General Assembly must pass a joint resolution for a second time in the 2017-2018 legislative session. Senate Joint Resolution 36/Resolution Act 16-1 was passed by both chambers in 2016. Would you support passing a state Constitutional Amendment to better protect state conservation lands from being sold, swapped or given away without a public hearing and a 2/3rds vote by the General Assembly?

Yes

No

Uncertain

Question 1 Comments:

With Connecticut's population remaining stable (even dropping) over the past few decades, there seems to be no need to transfer conservation lands for any sort of development. I would support any safeguards against selling, swapping or giving away state conservation lands.

2. PROTECTION OF CLASS I AND II LANDS- Connecticut has set the highest standard for drinking-water quality in the nation. Maintaining our high water quality relies on the protection of the recharge lands for reservoirs and wellfields, known as Class I and II lands. With increasing frequency, projects and legislation are proposed that would compromise protections for Class I and II lands, such as the legislative effort this year to allow rock mining in 100 acres of Class I and II land in New Britain. Would you oppose legislation that undermines traditional drinking water protections?

- Yes
- No
- Uncertain

Question 2 Comments:

We have become complacent about the availability of high-quality water, but need to remain vigilant about protecting our resources. Our long-term need for water outweighs the short-term profitability of any commercial enterprise.

3. WATER DATA FREEDOM OF INFORMATION- In 2002-2003, water utilities pushed through three security laws that require officials to redact (black out or delete) large quantities of information in documents, such as water supply plans. Basic data needed for comprehensive, statewide water planning mandated in 2014 (PA 14-163) is now being redacted from water utility records. During the 2016 session, state agencies (DPH, DPUC, DAS, DEEP) and the Governor's office attempted (unsuccessfully) to persuade water companies to cooperate in state water planning by releasing more data. Would you support legislation to allow these state agencies to make water utility data publicly available for planning purposes?

- Yes
- No
- Uncertain

Question 3 Comments:

I was unaware of this situation. Thank you for including it.

4. WATER SUPPLY REGULATION- Privatization of public water for private bottling and sale is happening in communities across the country. In 2015-2016, citizens' groups protested the unilateral decision of a CT regional water utility to sell a huge volume of water to a single new customer (a water bottling company). The volume—1.8 million gallons per day—was approximately equal to total residential water use in the service area. The new customer was given a specially created discount to encourage large water purchases, while at the same time rates for households were increased, and no provision was made for prioritizing supply in droughts.

This Question has two parts:

a. Would you support a permit requirement on new, supersized water diversions, for all new and existing customers asking for an additional 500,000 gallons per day above current use?

- Yes
- No
- Uncertain

b. Would you be in favor of regulating sales of our public drinking water supply to private for-profit water bottling companies?

- Yes
- No
- Uncertain

Question 4 Comments:

These seem like minimal actions. I would support a ban on for-profit water bottling companies operating in our state. Living in (and representing) an older city, I believe that encouraging in-fill development would provide more economic advantage while not over-straining our existing infrastructure.

5. REGIONAL GREENHOUSE GAS INITIATIVE- Connecticut receives revenues from auctions for emissions credits conducted by the nine-state Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI). Under Connecticut statutes, these funds are dedicated to energy efficiency programs which help thousands of residents and businesses, and to the Green Bank, which leverages these funds to attract far more in private funding to finance renewable energy installations. Both programs create thousands of Connecticut jobs. Would you oppose any diversion of RGGI funds away from energy efficiency programs and the Green Bank?

- Yes
- No
- Uncertain

Question 5 Comments:

6. CLIMATE CHANGE- The 2008 Global Warming Solutions Act (P.A. 08-98) mandates an 80% reduction in CT's greenhouse gas emissions below 2001 levels by the year 2050. The Governor's Council on Climate Change has been charged with developing strategies and interim targets to achieve that goal (Executive Order 46) and will issue a plan by the end of 2016. Would you support the adoption of new interim targets that will ensure CT is on a path to achieve the mandated 2050 emissions reduction goal?

- Yes
- No
- Uncertain

Question 6 Comments:

7. PROJECT GREEN SPACE- Municipalities struggle to find adequate funding for open space acquisition and stewardship. Would you support enabling legislation that would allow municipalities to establish, if they choose to do so, a limited conveyance fee on transfers of real estate to provide dedicated local funding for land conservation, including farmland, forests and open space, and to fund land stewardship efforts, including in urban communities/public lands?

- Yes
- No
- Uncertain

Question 7 Comments:

Stewardship of our open space is an essential issue in my urban district, as our Public Works Department is sorely underfunded and has very limited staffing for park maintenance. As a general rule, I support enabling legislation that would allow municipalities more control over how they raise revenues.

8. PLASTIC BAG POLLUTION- Plastic pollution is a global environmental problem. Westport, CT and other communities across the country have begun to address this issue by implementing bans on single-use plastic bags, citing their contribution to clogged waterways, damage to marine life, and toxic pollution. Would you support a statewide ban on plastic bags similar to the successful ban in Westport?

- Yes
- No
- Uncertain

Question 8 Comments:

I believe this is part of the state Green Party platform.

9. TIRE RECYCLING- The value of scrap tire is declining and many post-consumer uses are going away. This is a recipe for illegal dumping which puts a financial burden on municipalities and can develop into a public health concern (tires are a breeding ground for mosquitos). Would you support full Expanded Producer Responsibility for tires--that involves producers and advocates--in designing the take-back program?

- Yes
- No
- Uncertain

Question 9 Comments:

Good design of the program would be important to its success, so input of all stakeholders should be included.

10. SOLAR ENERGY- Residential solar energy is rapidly expanding, and is an important source of clean energy and jobs. But CT's residential solar market is limited due to the high percentage (about 80%) of renters and homes shaded by trees. Other states have successful "shared solar" programs that enable people who cannot install rooftop solar panels to purchase a portion of electricity produced by a larger solar installation. Would you support legislation expanding CT's insufficient shared solar pilot to a full-scale, statewide program that allows all CT residents to access clean energy?

- Yes
- No
- Uncertain

Question 10 Comments:

As an owner of a shaded home with a roof line not conducive to solar, I would support this. I am also interested in the possibility of shared geothermal within city neighborhoods.

11. What environmental concerns are you most passionate about?

(1) High quality natural spaces accessible to all city residents, including in low income neighborhoods. In 2011, I was co-founder and treasurer of a PAC to prevent the sale of a large part of New London's Riverside Park, and, after the sale was rejected in referendum, I co-founded (and still serve as treasurer) of the Riverside Park Conservancy, which works to improve and maintain the park in cooperation with city government and neighborhood residents. (2) Energy policy, because it affects so many issues - including foreign policy/wars for oil and the economy/jobs - in addition to the environmental impacts of pollution, peak resources and climate change.

12. What are the environmental priorities in your district? Do any require a state legislative solution? If elected/re-elected, what will you do to address these issues in 2017?

As a shoreline community, New London stands to be acutely impacted by (1) water quality in the Thames Rivers and Long Island Sound, and (2) climate change and rise in sea levels. A State Water Plan has been mandated, and I believe that legislators elected in 2016 will be called upon to review and approve the plan; careful examination will be essential. I am not certain whether regulation of stormwater discharge will require legislative action, but I would certainly support any efforts to curtail the use of toxins that could end up in our watercourses, and also to allocate state funding towards run-off reduction projects.

DO NOT LEAVE THIS FORM UNTIL YOU HAVE HIT THE "SUBMIT" BUTTON. If you have any questions or would like to submit additional information regarding your environmental record or positions, please email us at ctlcquestionnaire@gmail.com or call our office at 860-236-5442. Thank you!

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms