2014 Candidate Survey



- BEFORE YOU COMPLETE THIS QUESTIONNAIRE, PLEASE SAVE IT TO YOUR COMPUTER AND USE THAT FILE TO RETURN TO US.
- Please complete this survey by August 1, 2014.
- Email your completed survey to: ctlcv@ctlcv.org

Candidate Name:	Kim Fawcett			* ¥		
Running for: House	□X Senate	District No:	28	Party: Democrat		
Candidate/Campaign N	lailing Address: 234 C			(Ann.) - (Ann.	Will form the same Common to Associate	
Phone: 203-258-8922		Website: www.kir			rcett@optonline.net	
Do yo	ou enrolled in the Citiz u have a primary? Yes ou an incumbent? Yes	S No	for public camp	aign financing? Yesate representative running		

<u>Part 1</u>: If elected, what position do you expect to take on the following environmental issues? <u>Please mark boxes named "Support," "Uncertain," and "Oppose" with an x.</u>

Topic	Question	Support	Uncertain	Oppose	
Parks	Would you support state park and forest revenues going to park and forest operations and maintenance rather than to the General Fund?	X			
Comments:					
Transportation	CT is one of the 10 states most dependent on federal funds as a percentage of their transportation expenditures. More than half of the states and localities have moved forward on increasing their funding share. Do you favor this and how might we do it in CT?	X			

Comments :Bonding, PPP			 5
Property Tax Reform Comments:	CT's property tax burdens small towns, strangles cities, undermines mass transit and drives sprawl. Would you be an advocate on behalf of the environment in the tax reform debate, especially with regards to property tax?	X	,
Comments.			

*

		Support	Uncertain	00000
Topic	Question	idns	Unce	
Pesticides	The American Academy of Pediatrics and many other science and medical institutions have warned that pesticides (including herbicides) are harmful to human and ecological health. CT has a ban on use of lawn care pesticides on grounds of elementary and middle schools. Would you support legislation to extend this ban to high schools and public parks where children play?	X	_	
Comments:			1	
Yes, absolutely and my op	ponent has not supported this			
Pesticides	Should towns have more authority to limit or guide pesticide applications within their borders, including roadside spraying and applications of pesticides in wetlands and surface water?	X		
Comments: f the towns are trying to re	duce pesticide use.			-
Water Source Protection	CT normally uses decades old data for setting standards and guidance for stormwater management. Would you support requiring the state to upgrade its standards using scientifically validated, up-to-date statistics on volumes of water associated with extreme storms and annual precipitation?	X		
Comments:	and amount proofpitation.			-
Microbeads	Many personal care products such as shampoos and toothpaste are being manufactured using tiny plastic microbeads. Once microbeads are washed down the drain, they can enter lakes, rivers, and eventually the ocean through sewage overflows or pass through sewage treatment plants. Microbeads, like other plastics, can absorb toxic chemicals. They can be mistaken for food by aquatic life and can be passed up the food chain to larger fish, wildlife, and humans. Would you support legislation to protect our waterways from this new environmental threat?	X		2
Comments:				
YES, ABSOLUTELY THI	S IS HORRIBLE.			
Conservation Lands	Would you support passing a state Constitutional Amendment to better protect state conservation lands from being given away for non-conservation purposes?	X		
Comments:	d			

Energy	Would you support policies or legislation to protect and expand the state's cost-effective energy efficiency programs opposing any attempts to raid the CT Energy Efficiency Fund for state budgetary needs?	X	
Comments:			
Energy	While other states promote shared net metering and sub metering for solar, fuel cell and other distributed renewable sources, CT imposes regulatory barriers that effectively prevent development of these projects in our state. Would you support legislation that removes these barriers and enable clean renewable distributed generation to move forward?	X	
Comments:			
Toxins	Would you support legislation that requires the Department of Public Health to identify chemicals of high concern to children, manufacturer disclosure of those chemicals and a process for recommendations to reduce exposure?	XXX	
Comments: VFS I have been a	regular co-sponsor and co-introducer of this bill in the Children's Committee. I am committed to seein	g it nas	sed and
	has voted against it.	g it pas	sea and



- Part 2: What environmental issue has lacked the attention in Connecticut that it deserves? As a legislator, what will you do to change that? I would like to see Connecticut become a leader in the field of reducing chemicals of concern, pesticides and known carcinogens. I will co-sponsor, co-introduce and help champion related bills.
- <u>Part 3</u>: What are the environmental priorities in your district? Along the beach Fairfielders are worried about erosion and water quality issues. It has been very interesting to engage residents in both Easton and Newtown who care deeply about preserving open space and their farms.
- Part 4: If you are elected, what would be your top environmental priorities for the 2014 legislative session? Please identify up to three and rank the three in order 1, 2, or 3:

	Parks
1_	Transportation
2	Posticidos

	Water Source Protection
	Microbeads
	Conservation Lands
	Energy
1	Toxins
	Property Tax Reform
	Other (please explain)

Comments: I rated Transportation and toxins both as a one because I plan to work on both of these as a priority. I have spent the past six years as a strong out spoken advocate for all things mass transit and transportation investment and absolutely will continue to work to see our state upgrade and invest in our infrastructure.

(please keep in mind that my opponent joined only 8 of 54 House Republicans in voting NO on the state's bond bill that will support long term and emergency investments into our aging infrastructure)

With toxins, I have just seen too many friends and children fight cancer to not absolutely believe that we must get very aggressive in fighting to reduce exposures.